BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation)
Against:	·
)
)
JONATHAN S. DAVIDSON M.D.) File No. 800-2016-028024
)
Physician's and Surgeon's)
Certificate No. G66389)
)
Respondent))
•)

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on April 26, 2019.

IT IS SO ORDERED March 28, 2019.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Ronald H. Dewis, M.D. Chair

Panel A

	,		
1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California STEVEN MUNI		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JANNSEN TAN	•	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 237826		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	·	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7549		
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-2247 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	Auorneys for Complumum		
	BEFORE THE		
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	·		
12] .	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2016-028024	
14	JONATHAN S. DAVIDSON, M.D. 1658 Citadella Dr.	OAH No. 2018060874	
15	Roseville, CA 95747	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G	DISCH EINART ORDER	
17	66389		
18	Respondent.		
19			
20			
21	TT IS HEDERY STIDIU ATED AND AGR	FED by and between the parties to the above-	
22	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
23	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
24.	PARTIES 1. VI. VI. 1.		
25	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board		
26	of California (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Jannsen Tan,		
27			
28	Deputy Attorney General.		
		1	

22`

///

- Respondent Jonathan S. Davidson, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Paul Chan, whose address is: 1851 Heritage Lane, Suite 128
 Sacramento, CA 95815-4996
- 3. On or about July 24, 1989, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 66389 to Jonathan S. Davidson, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2016-028024, and will expire on July 31, 2019, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2016-028024 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on May 3, 2018. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2016-028024 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2016-028024. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

24 ·

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2016-028024, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.
- 10. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

12. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

- 13. The parties agree that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reprimand shall be submitted to the Board for its consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Board shall have a reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this Stipulation after receiving it. Respondent acknowledges that he shall not be permitted to withdraw from this Stipulation unless it is rejected by the Board.
- 14. The parties agree that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reprimand shall be null and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the Board, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full force and effect. Respondent fully understands and agrees that in deciding whether or not to approve and adopt this Stipulation, the Board may receive oral and written communications from its staff and/or the Attorney General's office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the Board, any

member thereof, and/or any other person from future participation in this or any other matter affecting or involving Respondent. In the event that the Board, in its discretion, does not approve and adopt this Stipulation, with the exception of this paragraph, it shall not become effective, shall be of no evidentiary value whatsoever, and shall not be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by either party hereto. Respondent further agrees that should the Board reject this Stipulation for any reason, Respondent will assert no claim that the Board, or any member thereof, was prejudiced by its/his/her review, discussion and/or consideration of this Stipulation or of any matter or matters related hereto. Respondent acknowledges that the Board shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this matter.

<u>ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS</u>

- 15. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reprimand is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.
- 16. The parties agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reprimand, including facsimile signatures of the parties, may be used in lieu of original documents and signatures and, further, that facsimile copies and signatures shall have the same force and effect as originals.
- 17. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree the Board may, without further notice to or opportunity to be heard by Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

A. PUBLIC REPRIMAND

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent Jonathan Davidson, M.D., as holder of Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 66389 shall be and hereby is publicly reprimanded pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a)(4) as follows:

"You failed to use an accredited surgery center in your endoscopic practice"

B. CLINICAL COMPETENCE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

Within 60 days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a clinical

competence assessment program approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall successfully complete the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment unless the Board or its designee agrees in writing to an extension of that time.

The program shall consist of a comprehensive assessment of Respondent's physical and mental health and the six general domains of clinical competence as defined by the Accreditation Council on Graduate Medical Education and American Board of Medical Specialties pertaining to Respondent's current or intended area of practice. The program shall take into account data obtained from the pre-assessment, self-report forms and interview, and the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and any other information that the Board or its designee deems relevant. The program shall require Respondent's on-site participation for a minimum of three (3) and no more than five (5) days as determined by the program for the assessment and clinical education evaluation. Respondent shall pay all expenses associated with the clinical competence assessment program.

At the end of the evaluation, the program will submit a report to the Board or its designee which unequivocally states whether the Respondent has demonstrated the ability to practice safely and independently. Based on Respondent's performance on the clinical competence assessment, the program will advise the Board or its designee of its recommendation(s) for the scope and length of any additional educational or clinical training, evaluation or treatment for any medical condition or psychological condition, or anything else affecting Respondent's practice of medicine. Respondent shall comply with the program's recommendations.

Determination as to whether Respondent successfully completed the clinical competence assessment program is solely within the program's jurisdiction.

If Respondent fails to enroll, participate in, or successfully complete the clinical competence assessment program within the designated time period, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. The Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until enrollment or participation in the outstanding portions of the clinical competence assessment program have been completed. If the Respondent did not successfully complete the clinical

competence assessment program, the Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until a final decision has been rendered on the accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation. Any violation of this condition or failure to complete the program and program recommendations shall be considered unprofessional conduct and grounds for further disciplinary action.

C. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later. Any violation of this shall be considered unprofessional conduct and grounds for further disciplinary action.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Paul Chan. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and

1	Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the		
2	Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.		
3	DATED: 2/8/20/9 JONATHAN S. DAVIDSON, M.D.		
5	JONATHAN S. DAVIDSON, M.D. Respondent		
6	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Jonathan S. Davidson, M.D. the terms and		
7	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order		
8	I approve its form and content.		
9 10	DATED: 7 /5 / 9 PAUL CHAN Attorney for Respondent		
11			
12	ENDORSEMENT		
13	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
14	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.		
15 16	Dated: 2/15/2019 Respectfully submitted,		
17 18	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ		
19	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
20) 1 2		
21	JANNSEN TAN Deputy Attorney General		
22	Attorneys for Complainant		
23			
24			
25	SA2018300561		
26	33754263.docx		
27			
28			
l l	1		

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2016-028024

1 2	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ	FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
3	JANNSEN TAN	Medical board of California Bacmamento May 3 Rols	
4	State Bar No. 237826	BY: YOUN WAS ANALYST	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7549		
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-2247		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9			
10	BEFORE THE		
11	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
12	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2016-028024	
14 15	Jonathan S. Davidson, M.D. 4213 Dale Rd., Suite 1 Modesto, CA 95356-8505	ACCUSATION	
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate		
17	No. G 66389,		
18	Respondent.		
19		1	
20	Complainant alleges:		
21	PARTIES		
22	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official		
23	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer		
24	Affairs (Board).		
25	2. On or about July 24, 1989, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's		
26	Certificate Number G 66389 to Jonathan S. Davidson, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and		
27	Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought		
28	herein and will expire on July 31, 2019, unless renewed.		
	·		

 JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 2227 of the Code states:
- "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - "(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
- "(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
- "(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
- "(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
- "(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
- "(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1."
 - 5. Section 2234 of the Code, states:
- "The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
 - "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
 - "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
- "(g) The practice of medicine from this state into another state or country without meeting the legal requirements of that state or country for the practice of medicine. Section 2314 shall not apply to this subdivision. This subdivision shall become operative upon the implementation of the proposed registration program described in Section 2052.5.
- "(h) The repeated failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend and participate in an interview by the board. This subdivision shall only apply to a certificate holder who is the subject of an investigation by the board."
- 6. Section 2266 of the Code states: "The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

2.7

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Gross Negligence)

- 7. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code, in that he committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of Patient A, as more particularly alleged hereinafter.
- 8. Respondent is a physician and surgeon who at all times alleged herein practiced medicine as Jonathan Davidson, M.D., at an address of 2210 Del Paso Road, Ste. B, Sacramento, California.
- 9. On or about August 29, 2016, Respondent saw Patient A for an initial office visit.

 Patient A was a 31-year-old female who presented with complaints of chest pain, night sweats, shortness of breath, leg swelling and fatigue. Respondent documented Patient A's symptoms as:

"[N]ausea, emesis, dysphagia, spasms in chest, chest pain, heart burn, belching, pyrosis, bloating in the stomach, sensation of having a lot of gas, intolerance to milk products, stomach pains, stomach pain which radiates to the shoulder, red colored rectal bleeding, dark black stool, pain with bowel movements, incomplete evacuation, crampy abdominal pain, left lower quadrant abdominal pain, constipation alternating with diarrhea, passage of mucus in stool."

- 10. Respondent documented that Patient A had a history of high blood pressure, stomach and gall bladder surgeries, a family history of lymphoma cancer, diabetes, CHF heart and kidney problems.
- 11. Respondent documented his assessment as "Colon Diverticuli," and "Hematochezia." Respondent documented his plan as "Colorectal Cancer Screening; Colonoscopy on Individual at Low Risk."
- 12. Respondent failed to elaborate on Patient A's symptoms such as frequency of complaints, characteristics of pains or dysphagia, modulating factors, previous evaluations, or previous therapeutic trials. He also failed to document and/or perform an appropriate abdominal examination and incorrectly referred to the colonoscopy as a colon cancer screening. Respondent

failed to document why Patient A was an appropriate patient for a colon cancer screening at age 31, and Respondent's plan ignored the bulk of Patient A's medical complaints.

- 13. On or about September 9, 2016, Respondent performed a colonoscopy procedure on Patient A. Respondent documented that informed consent was sought and obtained, but failed to produce the actual consent form for review. He started the procedure and put Patient A on "IV sedation to produce an acceptable level of moderate sedation." Respondent documented that he used a Pentax video colonoscope into the ano-rectum and passed the scope all the way to the cecum. He documented that "all the landmarks of the cecum were identified, including apendiceal orifice." Respondent's assessment was "No CARCINOMA present." Respondent failed to document the cause of Patient A's bleeding. Respondent's plan included "check the histology," but he failed to take any biopsies and submitted no specimens for histological review.
- 14. Respondent's documentation omitted necessary details, and contained redundant and contradictory information, indicating a pre-formatted form. Respondent failed to document Patient A's intake questionnaire, failed to include the consent form and other customary documents from the day of the procedure.
- 15. On or about September 13, 2017, Respondent was interviewed by the Board regarding his treatment of Patient A. The Board discovered that Respondent had performed multiple endoscopic procedures using Midazolam, Fentanyl, Versed, and Propofol in a non-accredited, certified, and/or licensed facility since on or about 2008. Respondent performed the endoscopic procedures in his office. The Board investigation revealed Respondent's office was deficient in numerous areas including but not limited to recovery area, infection control, and staffing.
- 16. Respondent committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of Patient A which included, but is not limited to the following:
 - A. Respondent failed to pursue or receive accreditation or licensure.
- B. Respondent performed endoscopic procedures in a non-accredited, certified and/or licensed facility since on or about 2008.

27 | .///

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Repeated Negligent Acts – Patient A)

- 17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code, in that he committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patient A, as more particularly alleged hereinafter. Paragraphs 7 through 15, above, are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 18. Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patient A which included, but were not limited to the following:
 - A. Respondent failed to pursue or receive accreditation or licensure.
- B. Respondent performed endoscopic procedures in a non-accredited, certified and/or licensed facility since on or about 2008.
 - C. Respondent failed to maintain adequate records.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Medical Records)

19. Respondent is further subject to discipline under sections 2227 and 2334, as defined by section 2266, of the Code, in that he failed to maintain adequate and accurate medical records in the care and treatment of Patient A, as more particularly alleged hereinafter: Paragraphs 7 through 15, above, are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Use of Anesthesia in an Outpatient Setting)

20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2216 et seq. of the Code, in that he performed procedures in an outpatient setting using anesthesia in doses that when administered, have the probability of placing a patient at risk for loss of the patient's life preserving protective reflexes, as more particularly alleged hereinafter. Paragraphs 7 through 15, above, are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

///

 $\parallel / / /$